

## RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 1700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Reitz et al.

Applic No.: 09/433,202

Filed: November 4, 1999

For : PARTICLE DISPERSIONS

Docket No.: N19.12-0026

D'

Group Art Unit: 175

Examiner: M. Marcheschi

## RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
BOX AF

Washington, D.C. 20231

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING SENT BY U.S. MAIL, FIRST CLASS, TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, THIS

DAY OF November. 2000.

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed on September 25,2000 please consider the following remarks.

## REMARKS

Claims 1-28 and 31 remain for consideration. The pending claims stand rejected. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejections based on the following comments.

## Objection to the Application Papers

The Examiner objected to the application papers because a permanent copy was not provided. In particular, the Examiner indicated that the claims were easily erasable. This situation possibly was due to an inadvertent printer malfunction. Applicants believe that they included reprinted claims, as filed, with the Amendment of May 30, 2000. If these papers were inadvertently not mailed, Applicants have sent another reprinted copy of the claims and the full specification, as filed, herewith. Specifically, Applicants submit under 37 C.F.R. §1.125(a) a copy of the

316.10